International Scientific Journal - ISSN: 1679-9844
Volume 21, Article nº 19 Continuous Publishing 2026
D.O.I: http://dx.doi.org/10.6020/1679-9844/v21a19
Accepted: 21/12/2025 Published: 06/07/2026

SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY AND LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT IN CHILDREN WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER

GLEYCE COUTINHO VITOR PAQUIELA [1], RAQUEL FRANÇA FREITAS [2],

Abstract - Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterized by persistent deficits in social communication and significant variability in language development. Given that communication impairments constitute a core diagnostic feature of ASD, speech-language intervention plays a fundamental role in promoting functional communication and social participation. This study aimed to analyze the contribution of speech-language pathology to language development in children with ASD, emphasizing evidence-based intervention strategies and structured communicative practices within therapeutic contexts. A narrative literature review was conducted based on scientific articles indexed in PubMed and related peer-reviewed sources. The review addressed neurobiological foundations of communication in ASD, linguistic characteristics of children on the spectrum, and empirically supported therapeutic approaches. The findings indicate that children with ASD frequently present receptive, expressive, and pragmatic language vulnerabilities, as well as difficulties in inferential and nonliteral language processing. Evidence supports the effectiveness of augmentative and alternative communication systems, naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions, social communication training, and early intervention programs. Structured and explicit communicative input within therapy may further enhance comprehension by reducing cognitive load. Speech-language pathology is therefore essential in fostering communicative competence, autonomy, and social inclusion in children with ASD.

Keywords: Autism Spectrum Disorder; Speech-Language Pathology; Language Development; Early Intervention; Social Communication.

1 INTRODUCTION

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders – Fifth Edition (DSM-5) as a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts, along with restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). These symptoms must be present in early developmental stages and cause clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

ASD has shown a significant increase in reported prevalence over the past decades. According to recent surveillance data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2023), approximately 1 in 36 children in the United States is diagnosed with ASD. This growing prevalence highlights the urgency of developing evidence-based strategies aimed at improving communication outcomes and functional independence in this population.

[1] Mestranda em ABA - Atuação Clínica e Transtornos do Neurodesenvolvimento pela Harold Gillies University.
[2] Pós-doutoranda em Cognição e Linguagem pela Universidade Estadual Norte Fluminense “Darcy Ribeiro”

Communication impairment constitutes one of the core diagnostic criteria of ASD. Studies indexed in PubMed consistently demonstrate that children with ASD frequently present deficits in expressive and receptive language, pragmatic impairments, reduced joint attention, echolalia, atypical prosody, and difficulties in understanding figurative language and implicit meanings (Tager-Flusberg et al., 2005; Eigsti et al., 2011). Pragmatic language deficits, in particular, significantly impact social participation and peer interaction, reinforcing the need for specialized intervention.

Early intervention has been strongly associated with improved developmental outcomes in children with ASD. Research indicates that interventions initiated during early childhood, when neuroplasticity is more pronounced, lead to better gains in language acquisition, social communication, and adaptive functioning (Dawson et al., 2010; Zwaigenbaum et al., 2015). The early years represent a critical window for modifying neural circuits related to language and social cognition, thereby reducing long-term functional limitations.

Within this context, Speech-Language Pathology plays a central role in the assessment and treatment of communication disorders in children with ASD. Speech-language pathologists (SLPs) are responsible for evaluating expressive and receptive language, pragmatic abilities, speech production, and alternative communication needs. Evidence-based interventions, including naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions, augmentative and alternative communication systems, and structured language stimulation, have demonstrated positive outcomes in enhancing communicative competence (American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, 2020; Paul et al., 2008).

Despite the growing body of research on ASD intervention, there remains a need to further examine the specific contribution of speech-language therapy to language development outcomes in children with ASD, particularly considering the unique linguistic processing characteristics observed in this population.

Thus, the guiding research question of this study is: what is the role of Speech-Language Pathology in promoting language development in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder? Then, the general objective of this study is to analyze the importance of speech-language intervention in the communicative development of children with ASD. The specific objectives are: 1) to describe the main linguistic and communicative characteristics associated with ASD; 2) to discuss the role of speech-language assessment in identifying communication deficits; 3) to examine evidence-based intervention strategies used in speech-language therapy for children with ASD; 4) to analyze the impact of early speech-language intervention on developmental outcomes.

This study adopts a narrative literature review methodology, based on the analysis of scientific articles indexed in PubMed and other peer-reviewed databases. The selected literature focuses on communication impairments in ASD, early intervention, and speech-language therapeutic approaches.

The relevance of this topic lies in the increasing prevalence of ASD worldwide and the centrality of communication in social participation, academic performance, and quality of life. By synthesizing current scientific evidence, this study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the role of Speech-Language Pathology in fostering communicative competence and functional autonomy in children with ASD.

2 AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER AND LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT

2.1 Neurobiological Bases of Communication in ASD

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is associated with atypical neurodevelopment affecting brain regions involved in social cognition and language processing. Neuroimaging studies have consistently demonstrated alterations in the ”social brain network”, which includes the superior temporal sulcus,

amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex, and temporoparietal junction—regions essential for social communication and theory of mind (Pelphrey et al., 2011; Redcay; Courchesne, 2008). Functional MRI investigations reveal reduced activation and connectivity within these networks during social and communicative tasks, which may underlie the social communication deficits observed in ASD.

In addition to social circuitry alterations, children with ASD frequently present differences in neural connectivity patterns. Studies suggest atypical long-range connectivity combined with local overconnectivity, particularly in frontotemporal regions involved in language and executive functioning (Just et al., 2012). These connectivity differences may contribute to difficulties in integrating linguistic, contextual, and social information during communication.

Sensory processing differences also play a crucial role in communication challenges in ASD. Atypical sensory modulation, such as hyperresponsiveness or hyporesponsiveness to auditory stimuli, can interfere with speech perception and language acquisition (Marco et al., 2011). Neurophysiological research indicates altered auditory cortical processing, including differences in mismatch negativity responses and speech sound discrimination, which may affect phonological development and receptive language skills (Roberts et al., 2010).

Furthermore, language processing in ASD often involves atypical neural lateralization. While typical language development is predominantly left-hemisphere dominant, individuals with ASD may show reduced lateralization or compensatory recruitment of right-hemisphere regions during linguistic tasks (Kleinhans et al., 2008). These neurobiological differences highlight the complexity of language development in ASD and reinforce the need for targeted speech-language interventions grounded in neuroscientific evidence.

2.2 Linguistic Profile of Children With ASD

Language development in children with ASD is highly heterogeneous. Some children remain minimally verbal, whereas others develop structurally intact language but exhibit significant pragmatic impairments. Receptive language difficulties are frequently reported, particularly in understanding complex syntactic structures, abstract vocabulary, and contextual cues (Hudry et al., 2010). Even when vocabulary size appears age-appropriate, comprehension of implied meanings may be compromised.

Expressive language in ASD may be characterized by delayed onset of first words, limited spontaneous communication, echolalia, and atypical prosody (Tager-Flusberg et al., 2005). While some children acquire grammatical structures adequately, others present morphosyntactic deficits and restricted semantic flexibility. The variability in expressive language outcomes suggests that linguistic development in ASD does not follow a uniform trajectory.

Pragmatic language impairment is considered one of the most consistent features of ASD. Difficulties in turn-taking, maintaining conversational topics, interpreting nonliteral language, and adjusting communication according to social context are widely documented (Paul et al., 2009). These pragmatic challenges significantly affect peer relationships and social integration, even in verbally fluent individuals.

A tendency toward literal processing is another hallmark of language functioning in ASD. Research indicates that individuals on the spectrum may struggle with figurative language, metaphors, idioms, and sarcasm due to reduced inferential processing and theory of mind limitations (Happé, 1993; Norbury, 2005). Literal interpretation of language can hinder comprehension in everyday communication, especially in contexts that rely on implicit meanings.

Difficulties with inferencing further impact discourse comprehension. Studies show that children with ASD often experience challenges in integrating contextual information to derive unstated meanings, affecting narrative understanding and reading comprehension (Ozonoff; Miller, 1996; Kimhi, 2014). These inferential deficits reflect broader cognitive differences in central coherence and social cognition.

Taken together, the linguistic profile of children with ASD reflects complex interactions between neurobiological alterations, sensory processing differences, and social-cognitive challenges. Understanding these characteristics is fundamental for designing effective speech-language assessment and intervention strategies tailored to individual needs.

3 SPEECH-LANGUAGE INTERVENTION IN CHILDREN WITH ASD

3.1 Evidence-Based Intervention Strategies

Speech-language intervention plays a central role in promoting communicative competence in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Given the heterogeneity of language profiles observed in this population, intervention must be individualized and grounded in empirically supported approaches. Research consistently emphasizes the importance of interventions targeting functional communication, social reciprocity, and language development within meaningful contexts (Paul et al., 2008; Schreibman et al., 2015).

Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) systems, including the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS), have demonstrated positive effects on communicative initiation and functional language use, particularly in minimally verbal children. Controlled studies indicate that PECS can increase spontaneous requesting, reduce communicative frustration, and, in some cases, facilitate the emergence of spoken language (Bondy; Frost, 2001; Flippin et al., 2010). AAC approaches are especially relevant when expressive speech is limited, as they provide accessible pathways for symbolic communication and social engagement.

Verbal modeling and naturalistic language stimulation strategies are also widely supported in the literature. Interventions embedded in play-based and interactional contexts, such as naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions (NDBIs), have been shown to improve expressive vocabulary, joint attention, and social communication skills (Schreibman et al., 2015; Rogers; Dawson, 2010). These approaches integrate behavioral principles with developmental frameworks, emphasizing responsiveness, contingent imitation, and structured modeling of language within everyday routines.

Social skills training constitutes another key component of speech-language intervention in ASD. Pragmatic deficits often persist even in verbally fluent children; therefore, explicit teaching of conversational turn-taking, topic maintenance, perspective-taking, and repair strategies is necessary (Paul et al., 2009). Evidence suggests that structured social communication interventions can enhance peer interaction and adaptive functioning, particularly when implemented consistently across clinical and naturalistic settings.

Early intervention remains one of the most robust predictors of positive developmental outcomes. Longitudinal and randomized controlled trials demonstrate that intervention initiated during the toddler and preschool years leads to greater gains in language, social communication, and adaptive behavior compared to later-start interventions (Dawson et al., 2010; Zwaigenbaum et al., 2015). The heightened neuroplasticity of early childhood provides a critical window for modifying neural pathways associated with communication, reinforcing the need for early speech-language assessment and therapeutic planning.

3.2 Communicative Strategies in The Therapeutic Context

Beyond specific intervention models, the communicative style adopted within therapy sessions significantly influences comprehension and engagement in children with ASD. Given the documented

vulnerabilities in receptive language, inferencing, and pragmatic processing, communication delivered during intervention should be intentionally structured to reduce linguistic complexity and cognitive load.

The use of short, syntactically simple sentences has been recommended in clinical practice, particularly for children with receptive language delays (Hudry et al., 2010). Simplified sentence structures reduce working memory demands and facilitate more accurate mapping between linguistic input and contextual cues. When instructions are concise and segmented into manageable units, children are more likely to demonstrate understanding and task engagement.

Similarly, the use of concrete vocabulary enhances semantic accessibility. Research on language processing in ASD indicates that abstract and figurative language poses greater comprehension challenges, especially for individuals with theory of mind and inferential difficulties (Happé, 1993; Norbury, 2005). Therefore, selecting literal, explicit lexical items during therapy may reduce ambiguity and support clearer semantic representation.

Reducing linguistic ambiguity is particularly important given documented difficulties with nonliteral interpretation and contextual inference (Ozonoff; Miller, 1996; Kimhi, 2014). Explicit verbalization of expectations, clarification of implicit meanings, and avoidance of figurative expressions can improve communicative transparency. Structured input that clearly signals communicative intent supports more efficient processing and decreases the risk of misinterpretation.

Predictable organization of information also contributes to improved comprehension. Studies in cognitive load and language processing suggest that consistent routines and structured communicative patterns facilitate anticipatory processing and reduce processing demands. In children with ASD, who may exhibit differences in executive functioning and integration of contextual cues, predictable linguistic framing can enhance participation and responsiveness during therapy.

Minimizing linguistic overload is therefore a clinically relevant principle. When language input is dense, abstract, or overly complex, cognitive resources may be diverted from social engagement toward decoding form. Conversely, communication that is explicit, well-structured, and semantically transparent supports both comprehension and interactional reciprocity. These communicative adjustments do not reduce linguistic richness but rather align input with the child's processing profile, optimizing therapeutic effectiveness.

Collectively, evidence indicates that speech-language intervention in ASD should combine empirically supported treatment models with structured and explicit communicative strategies. Such an approach promotes functional understanding, reduces cognitive burden, and enhances the child's capacity to participate meaningfully in social communication contexts.

4 SPEECH-LANGUAGE INTERVENTION IN CHILDREN WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER

4.1 Evidence-Based Intervention Strategies

Speech-language intervention is considered a fundamental component of multidisciplinary care for children with ASD, aiming to improve communicative competence, social participation, and functional independence. Given the considerable heterogeneity in language abilities across the autism spectrum, intervention should be individualized and based on evidence-supported practices that address each child's communicative profile (Paul et al., 2008; Schreibman et al., 2015).

One of the most extensively investigated interventions for minimally verbal children with ASD is the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS), an Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) approach designed to promote functional communication through the exchange of visual

symbols. Studies have demonstrated that PECS effectively increases spontaneous communicative initiations, requesting behaviors, and social interaction while reducing communication-related frustration (Bondy; Frost, 2001). A meta-analysis conducted by Flippin et al. (2010) further concluded that PECS produces significant improvements in functional communication, although gains in spoken language vary depending on individual developmental characteristics.

Naturalistic speech-language interventions frequently incorporate verbal modeling as a strategy to facilitate language acquisition. During modeling, clinicians intentionally provide grammatically correct and contextually meaningful language that children can observe and gradually imitate. Rather than requiring immediate verbal responses, therapists expose children to consistent linguistic input within play-based and everyday interactions, promoting vocabulary expansion, syntactic development, and communicative intent (Rogers; Dawson, 2010). Naturalistic Developmental Behavioral Interventions (NDBIs), which combine developmental principles with behavioral teaching strategies, have shown robust evidence for improving expressive language, joint attention, and reciprocal communication in young children with ASD (Schreibman et al., 2015).

Because deficits in social communication represent one of the core diagnostic features of ASD, speech-language intervention also emphasizes the systematic development of pragmatic skills. Social communication training targets conversational turn-taking, topic maintenance, repair strategies, eye gaze, perspective-taking, and appropriate responses during interaction. Evidence suggests that explicit instruction and repeated practice of these skills contribute to meaningful improvements in conversational competence and peer interaction, particularly when intervention is generalized across clinical, educational, and family settings (Paul et al., 2009).

Among all intervention variables, early intervention consistently emerges as one of the strongest predictors of favorable developmental outcomes. Randomized controlled trials indicate that interventions initiated during the first years of life significantly improve receptive language, expressive language, adaptive functioning, and social communication when compared with later therapeutic initiation (Dawson et al., 2010). Similarly, the recommendations proposed by Zwaigenbaum et al. (2015) emphasize that the period of greatest neuroplasticity offers unique opportunities to modify developmental trajectories through intensive, individualized, and family-centered intervention. Consequently, early speech-language assessment should be regarded as a priority whenever developmental communication concerns are identified.

4.2 Communicative Strategies in the Therapeutic Context

Beyond the selection of intervention models, the effectiveness of speech-language therapy is strongly influenced by the manner in which information is communicated during clinical interactions. The linguistic characteristics commonly observed in children with ASD, including receptive language vulnerabilities, reduced inferential processing, pragmatic impairments, and a tendency toward literal interpretation, suggest that therapeutic communication should be intentionally structured to facilitate comprehension and minimize unnecessary cognitive demands (Hudry et al., 2010; Happé, 1993).

One important strategy involves the use of short, syntactically simple sentences. Concise verbal instructions reduce working memory demands and facilitate the processing of linguistic information, particularly for children who experience difficulties integrating multiple pieces of verbal input simultaneously. Sequential presentation of information, rather than lengthy or complex explanations, allows children to focus on essential communicative elements while minimizing cognitive overload (Paul et al., 2008).

Likewise, the use of concrete and explicit vocabulary contributes to more efficient semantic processing. Children with ASD frequently demonstrate greater difficulty understanding abstract concepts, figurative expressions, metaphors, and idiomatic language, largely because these forms require infe-

rential reasoning and interpretation beyond literal meaning (Norbury, 2005). Consequently, selecting vocabulary that directly represents objects, actions, and observable events facilitates lexical access and supports more accurate comprehension.

Another relevant communicative principle involves the reduction of linguistic ambiguity. Communication that relies heavily on implicit meanings, sarcasm, or indirect requests may create additional barriers for children with ASD, who often experience difficulties interpreting communicative intentions (Happé, 1993; Ozonoff; Miller, 1996). Explicitly stating expectations, providing direct instructions, and clarifying intended meanings contribute to greater communicative transparency and reduce the likelihood of misunderstanding.

Therapeutic communication also benefits from the predictable organization of information. Consistent linguistic routines, clear sequencing of activities, and stable verbal patterns enable children to anticipate communicative events, thereby reducing uncertainty and facilitating information processing. Predictability has been associated with improved engagement and greater responsiveness during intervention, particularly for children presenting executive functioning and attentional differences (Marco et al., 2011).

Finally, minimizing linguistic overload represents an important clinical consideration. Dense verbal explanations, multiple simultaneous instructions, and excessive informational complexity may exceed the child's processing capacity, interfering with attention, comprehension, and communicative participation. Conversely, language that is explicit, well-organized, and cognitively accessible supports more effective interaction by allowing children to allocate cognitive resources to social engagement rather than decoding linguistic complexity. These communicative principles align with contemporary evidence regarding language processing in ASD and reinforce the importance of adapting therapeutic communication to each child's linguistic and cognitive profile.

Collectively, current evidence indicates that effective speech-language intervention extends beyond specific therapeutic techniques. It also depends on the clinician's ability to deliver communication that is clear, predictable, explicit, and developmentally appropriate. Such communicative practices optimize language processing, enhance therapeutic participation, and contribute to improved functional communication outcomes for children with ASD.

5 CONCLUSION

Autism Spectrum Disorder presents complex and heterogeneous challenges in language and social communication, rooted in neurobiological variability and reflected in diverse linguistic profiles. The evidence reviewed in this study reinforces that speech-language intervention plays a fundamental role in addressing both structural and pragmatic aspects of communication in children with ASD. Evidence-based strategies, including augmentative and alternative communication systems, naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions, social communication training, and early intervention models, demonstrate consistent positive outcomes in enhancing functional communication, social participation, and adaptive skills. Early identification and targeted therapeutic planning remain critical for optimizing developmental trajectories, particularly during periods of heightened neuroplasticity.

Moreover, beyond specific intervention models, the communicative approach adopted within therapy significantly influences comprehension and engagement. Structuring language input through clarity, explicitness, predictability, and reduced linguistic complexity aligns therapeutic communication with the cognitive and inferential profile commonly observed in ASD. Such structured communicative practices do not simplify content in a reductive sense but rather enhance accessibility and reduce cognitive overload, thereby promoting more effective interaction. By integrating empirically supported methods with care-

fully designed communicative strategies, speech-language pathology contributes not only to language acquisition but also to the broader goals of autonomy, social inclusion, and quality of life for children with ASD.

Referências

AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION. **Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5**. 5. ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing, 2013.

AMERICAN SPEECH-LANGUAGE-HEARING ASSOCIATION. **Autism (Autism Spectrum Disorder): Practice Portal**. Rockville, MD: ASHA, 2020. Disponível em: https://www.asha.org/practice-portal/clinical-topics/autism/. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

BONDY, A.; FROST, L. The Picture Exchange Communication System. **Behavior Modification**, Thousand Oaks, v. 25, n. 5, p. 725–744, 2001. DOI: 10.1177/0145445501255004. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445501255004. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION. Prevalence and characteristics of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years — Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, United States, 2020. **Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Surveillance Summaries**, Atlanta, v. 72, n. 2, 2023. Disponível em: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/72/ss/ss7202a1.htm. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

DAWSON, G. et al. Randomized, controlled trial of an intervention for toddlers with autism: the Early Start Denver Model. **Pediatrics**, Itasca, v. 125, n. 1, e17–e23, 2010. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2009-0958. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-0958. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

EIGSTI, I.-M. et al. Language acquisition in autism spectrum disorders: a developmental review. **Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders**, Amsterdam, v. 5, n. 2, p. 681–691, 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.rasd.2010.09.001. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2010.09.001. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

FLIPPIN, M.; RESZKA, S.; WATSON, L. R. Effectiveness of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) on communication and speech for children with autism spectrum disorders: a meta-analysis. **American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology**, Rockville, v. 19, n. 2, p. 178–195, 2010. DOI: 10.1044/1058-0360(2010/09-0022). Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360(2010/09-0022). Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

HAPPÉ, F. G. E. Communicative competence and theory of mind in autism: a test of relevance theory. **Cognition**, Amsterdam, v. 48, n. 2, p. 101–119, 1993. DOI: 10.1016/0010-0277(93)90026-R. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(93)90026-R. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

HUDRY, K. et al. Preschoolers with autism show greater impairment in receptive compared with expressive language abilities. **International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders**, London, v. 45, n. 6, p. 681–690, 2010. DOI: 10.3109/13682820903461493. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.3109/13682820903461493. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

JUST, M. A. et al. Autism as a neural systems disorder: a theory of frontal–posterior underconnectivity. **Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews**, Oxford, v. 36, n. 4, p. 1292–1313, 2012. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.02.007. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.02.007. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

KIMHI, Y. Theory of mind abilities and deficits in autism spectrum disorders. **Topics in Language Disorders**, Philadelphia, v. 34, n. 4, p. 329–343, 2014. DOI: 10.1097/TLD.0000000000000033. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1097/TLD.0000000000000033. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

KLEINHANS, N. M. et al. Abnormal functional connectivity in autism spectrum disorders during face processing. **Brain**, Oxford, v. 131, n. 4, p. 1000–1012, 2008. DOI: 10.1093/brain/awm334. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awm334. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

MARCO, E. J. et al. Sensory processing in autism: a review of neurophysiologic findings. **Pediatric Research**, New York, v. 69, 5 Pt 2, 48r–54r, 2011. DOI: 10.1203/PDR.0b013e3182130c54. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1203/PDR.0b013e3182130c54. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

NORBURY, C. F. The relationship between theory of mind and metaphor: evidence from children with language impairment and autistic spectrum disorder. **British Journal of Developmental Psychology**, Leicester, v. 23, n. 3, p. 383–399, 2005. DOI: 10.1348/026151005X26732. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1348/026151005X26732. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

OZONOFF, S.; MILLER, J. N. An exploration of right-hemisphere contributions to the pragmatic impairments of autism. **Brain and Language**, San Diego, v. 52, n. 3, p. 411–434, 1996. DOI: 10.1006/brln.1996.0022. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1006/brln.1996.0022. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

PAUL, R.; NORBURY, C.; GOSSE, C. **Language disorders from infancy through adolescence: listening, speaking, reading, writing, and communicating**. 3. ed. St. Louis: Mosby Elsevier, 2008.

PAUL, R.; ORLOVSKI, S. M.; MARCINKO, H. C.; VOLKMAR, F. R. Conversational behaviors in youth with high-functioning ASD and Asperger syndrome. **Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders**, New York, v. 39, n. 1, p. 115–125, 2009. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-008-0607-1. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-008-0607-1. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

PELPHREY, K. A. et al. Research review: constraining heterogeneity: the social brain and its development in autism spectrum disorder. **Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry**, Oxford, v. 52, n. 6, p. 631–644, 2011. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02349.x. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02349.x. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

REDCAY, E.; COURCHESNE, E. Deviant functional magnetic resonance imaging patterns of brain activity to speech in 2–3-year-old children with autism spectrum disorder. **Biological Psychiatry**, New York, v. 64, n. 7, p. 589–598, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.05.020. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.05.020. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

ROBERTS, T. P. L. et al. Auditory magnetic mismatch field latency: a biomarker for language impairment in autism. **Biological Psychiatry**, New York, v. 68, n. 8, p. 727–733, 2010. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.07.015. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.07.015. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

ROGERS, S. J.; DAWSON, G. **Early Start Denver Model for young children with autism: promoting language, learning, and engagement**. New York: Guilford Press, 2010.

SCHREIBMAN, L. et al. Naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions: empirically validated treatments for autism spectrum disorder. **Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders**, New York, v. 45, n. 8, p. 2411–2428, 2015. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-015-2407-8. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-015-2407-8. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.

TAGER-FLUSBERG, H.; PAUL, R.; LORD, C. Language and communication in autism. *In:* VOLKMAR, F. R. et al. (ed.). **Handbook of autism and pervasive developmental disorders**. 3. ed. Hoboken: Wiley, 2005. p. 335–364.

ZWAIGENBAUM, L. et al. Early intervention for children with autism spectrum disorder under 3 years of age: recommendations for practice and research. **Pediatrics**, Itasca, v. 136, supl. 1, s60–s81, 2015. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2014-3667E. Disponível em: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-3667E. Acesso em: 25 fev. 2026.